

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/765,341	01/27/2004	Gary Karlin Michelson	101.0036-02000	1065
22882	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP			THALER, MICHAEL H	
1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE HARTVILLE, OH 44632			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/765,341	MICHELSON, GARY KARLIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Thaler	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.	•			
	· 				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/04&2/4/05</u> . 6)					

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bent (3,752,161) in view of Wright (4,777,948). Bent shows shaft 22 and foot plate 26. Bent fails to show a tubular member removably insertable within a carrier However, Wright teaches making tubular cutting member member. 28 removable from its supporting shaft or carrier member 12 (col. 3, lines 6-24) in order to permit the cutting edge on the cutting member 28 to be removable tubular more easily resharpened (col. 1, lines 21-31). Making the cutting jaw 24 of Bent removable from its supporting shaft or carrier member 20 so that the Bent cutting edge could also be more easily resharpened would have been obvious in view of this teaching. As to claim 4, Bent fails to show the storage area increasing in cross sectional area from the leading end to the trailing end. shaping the Bent storage area in order to provide a larger area for storage of the cut material would have been obvious, particularly since it is well known in the art to so shape storage areas. As to claim 11, when the Bent member 24 is uncoupled from handle mechanism 14 by unthreading locking ring 60, it is still configured to hold the cut pieces of bone or cartilage. As to claim 14, Bent and Wright fail to show tubular cutting member comprising a plastic material. However, it is old and well known in this art to use plastic as the material

Art Unit: 3731

for a cutting member in order to obtain the advantage of making the device low in cost. It would have been obvious to use plastic as the material for the tubular cutting member incorporated into the Bent instrument so that it too would have this advantage.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-111 of U.S. Patent No. 5,653,713, claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,200,320, claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,451,227 and claims 1-39 of U.S. Patent No. 6,142,997. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the slight differences in the claims involves only obvious differences.

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/790,008. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,341

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

mht 2/18/05

MICHAEL THALER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3731